- Anyone younger than age 18 or older than age 55
- Pregnant or lactating mothers
- Auto-immune conditions
- Immunocompromised individuals
- No data on transmission of covid
- No data on preventing mortality from covid
- No data on duration of protection from covid

Hard to believe right?

In case you think I'm making this up, or want to see the actual documents sent to the FDA by Pfizer and Moderna for their Emergency Use Authorization, you can check out this (https://www.fda.gov/media/144245/download), or this (https://www.fda.gov/media/144434/download) respectively. The data gaps can be found starting with page 46 and 48 respectively.

For now let's turn our eyes to the raw data the vaccine makers used to submit for emergency use

authorization.

## #5: NO ACCESS TO THE RAW DATA FROM THE TRIALS

Would you like to see the raw data that produced the "90% and 95% effective" claims touted in the news? Me too... But they won't let us see that data.

As pointed out in the BMJ (https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2021/01/04/peter-doshi-pfizer-and-modernas-95-effective-vaccines-we-need-more-details-and-the-raw-data/?utm\_source-feedburner&utm\_medium=feed&utm\_campaign=Feed%3A+bmj%2Fblogs+%28Latest+B-MJ+blogs%29&g=w\_bmj-com), something about the Pfizer and Moderna efficacy claims smells really funny. There were "3,410 total cases of suspected, but unconfirmed covid-19 in the overall study population, 1,594 occurred in the vaccine group vs. 1,816 in the placebo group."

Wait...what? Did they fail to do science in their scientific study by not verifying a major vari-

Could they not test those "suspected but unconfirmed" cases to find out if they had covid?

Apparently not.

Why not test all 3,410 participants for the sake

Why not test all 3,410 participants for the sake of accuracy? Can we only guess they didn't test because it would mess up their "90-95% effective" claims?

#### Where's the FDA?

Would it not be prudent for the FDA, to expect (demand) that the vaccine makers test people who have "covid-like symptoms," and release their raw data so outside, third-parties could examine how the manufacturers justified the numbers? I mean it's only every citizen of the world we're trying to get to take these experimental products...

Why did the FDA not require that? Isn't that the entire purpose of the FDA anyway? Good question. Foxes guarding the hen house? Seems

like it. No liability. No trust.

### #6: NO LONG-TERM SAFETY TESTING

Obviously, with products that have only been on the market a few months, we have no longterm safety data. In other words, we have no idea what this product will do in the body months or years from now--for ANY population.

Given all the risks above (risks that ALL pharmaceutical products have), would it not be prudent to wait to see if the worst-case scenarios have indeed been avoided? Would it not make sense to want to fill those pesky "data gaps" before we try to give this to every man, woman, and child on the planet?

Well...that would make sense, but to have that data, they need to test it on people, which leads me to my next point...

### **#7: NO INFORMED CONSENT**

What most who are taking the vaccine don't know is that because these products are still in clinical trials, anyone who gets the shot is now part of the clinical trial. They are part of the experiment. Those (like me) who do not take it, are part of the control group. Time will tell how this experiment works out.

But, you may be asking, if the vaccines are causing harm, wouldn't we be seeing that all over the news? Surely the FDA would step in and pause the distribution?

Well, if the adverse events reporting system was working, maybe things would be different.

# #8: UNDER-REPORTING OF ADVERSE REACTIONS AND DEATH

According to a study done (https://www.icandecide.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Lazarus-report.pdf) by Harvard (at the commission of our own government), less than 1% of all adverse reactions to vaccines are actually submitted to the National Vaccine Adverse Events Reports System (VAERS) - read page 6 at the link above.

While the problems with VAERS have not been fixed (as you can read about in this letter to the CDC)(https://www.icandecide.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Letter-to-Dr.-Walensky-re-anaphylaxis.pdf) at the time of this writing,

VAERS reports (https://www.medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php?TABLE=ON&GROUP1=-CAT&EVENTS=ON&VAX=COVID19) over 2,200 deaths from the current covid vaccines, as well as close to 60,000 adverse reactions.

"VAERS data released today showed 50,861 reports of adverse events following COVID vaccines, including 2,249 deaths and 7,726 serious injuries between Dec. 14, 2020 and March 26, 2021." And those numbers don't include (what is currently) 578 cases of Bell's Palsy (https://www.medalerts.org/vaersdb/findfield.php?TABLE=ON&GROUP1=MAN&E VENTS=ON&SYMPTOMS[]=Bell%27s+palsy+%2810004223%29&SYMPTOMS[]=Facial+discomfort+%2810083537%29&SYMPTOMS[]=-Facial+dysmorphism+%2810016045%29&SYMP-T O M S [ ] = F a c i a l + n e r v e + d i s o r der+%2810061457%29&SYMPTOMS[]=Facial+neuralgia+%2810061594%29&SYMP-TOMS[] = Facial + palsy + %2810016060%29 &S - palsy + %2810016000%29 &S - palsy + %2810016000%29 &S - palsy + %2810016000%29 &S - palsy + palsyYMPTOMS[] = Facial + paraly sis+%2810016062%29&SYMPTOMS[]=Facial+paresis+%2810051267%29&SYMPTOM-S[]=Facial+spasm+%2810063006%29&VAX-=COVID19).

If those numbers are still only 1% of the total adverse reactions (or .8 to 2% of what this study (https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2777417) published recently in the JAMA found), you can do the math, but that equates to somewhere around 110,000 to 220,000 deaths from the vaccines to date, and a ridiculous number of adverse reactions. Bet you didn't see that on the

That death number would currently still be lower than the 424,000 deaths from medical errors that happen every year (which you probably also don't hear about), but we are not even six months into the rollout of these vaccines yet.

If you want a deeper dive into the problems with the VAERS reporting system, you can check this out (https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/vaccine-injury-reporting-systems-utterly-inadequate/), or check this out (https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/covid-vaccine-injuries-vaers-cdc/?utm\_source=salsa&e-Type=EmailBlastContent&eId=9af36675-e8d3-4040-a6be-abcb46990996). But then there's my next point, which could be argued makes these covid vaccines seem pointless...

## #9: THE VACCINES DO NOT STOP TRANSMISSION OR INFECTION

Wait, what? Aren't these vaccines supposed to be what we've been waiting for to "go back to normal"? Nope.

Why do you think we're getting all these conflicting messages about needing to practice social distancing and wear masks AFTER we get a vaccine? The reason is because these vaccines were never designed to stop transmission OR infection. If you don't believe me, I refer you again to the papers submitted to the FDA I linked to above.

The primary endpoint (what the vaccines are meant to accomplish) is to lower your symptoms. Sounds like just about every other drug on the market right? That's it...lowering your symptoms is the big payoff we've been waiting for. Does that seem completely pointless to anyone besides me?

It can't stop us from spreading the virus. It can't stop the virus from infecting us once we have the shot.

To get the vaccine is to accept all the risk of these experimental products and the best it might do is lower symptoms?

Heck, there are plenty of other things I can do to lower my symptoms that don't involve taking what appears to be a really risky product.

### Now for the next logical question:

If we're worried about asymptomatic spreaders, would the vaccine not make it more likely that we are creating asymptomatic spread? If it indeed reduces symptoms, anyone who gets it might not even know they are sick and thus they are more likely to spread the virus, right? For what it's worth, I've heard many people say the side effects of the vaccine (especially the second dose) are worse than catching covid. I can't make sense of that either.

- Take the risk.
- Get no protection.
- Suffer through the vaccine side-effects.
- Keep wearing your mask and social distancing...And continue to be able to spread the virus.

### What? It gets worse.

#### #10: PEOPLE ARE CATCHING COVID AFTER BEING FULLY VACCINATED

Talk about a bummer. You get vaccinated and you still catch covid.

- It's happening in Washington State (https://www.theepochtimes.com/over-100-fully-vaccinated-people-in-washington-state-test-positive-for-covid-19\_3757218.html)
- It's happening in New York (https://www.theepochtimes.com/long-island-woman-tests-covid-19-positive-after-taking-second-vaccine-shot\_3757019.html)

• It's happening in Michigan (https://www.deadlinedetroit.com/articles/27635/updated\_vaccinated\_oakland\_county\_woman\_gets\_covid\_michigan\_identifies\_145\_others)

• It's happening in Hawaii (https://www.thee-pochtimes.com/3-fully-vaccinated-hawaii-residents-test-positive-for-covid-19\_3745456.html)

• It's happening in several other states, too (https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/states-report-covid-cases-fully-vaccinated/).

• It happened to 80% of 35 nuns who got the vaccine in Kentucky (https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/several-nuns-die-after-taking-first-shot-of-covid-vaccine). Two of them died, by the way.

In reality, this phenomenon is probably happening everywhere, but those are the ones making the news now. Given the reasons above (and what's below), maybe this doesn't surprise you, but bummer if you thought the vaccine was a shield to keep you safe. It's not. That was never the point.

If 66% of healthcare workers in L.A. (https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/2020/11/21/vaccines-advocates-nurses-doctors-coronavirus/) are going to delay or skip the vaccine...maybe they aren't wowed by the rushed science either. Maybe they are watching the shady way deaths and cases are being reported...

### #11: THE OVERALL DEATH RATE FROM COVID

According to the CDC's own numbers, covid has a 99.74% survival rate. Why would I take a risk on a product, that doesn't stop infection or transmission, to help me overcome a cold that has a .26% chance of killing me--actually in my age range is has about a .1% chance of killing me (and .01% chance of killing my kids), but let's not split hairs here.

With a bar (death rate) that low, we will be in lockdown every year...i.e. forever. But wait, what about the 500,000 plus deaths, that's alarming, right? I'm glad you asked.

### #12: THE BLOATED COVID DEATH NUMBERS

Something smells really funny about this one. Never before in the history of death certificates has our own government changed how deaths are reported. Why now, are we reporting everyone who dies with covid in their body, as having died of covid, rather than the co-morbidities that actually took their life?

Until covid, all coronaviruses (common colds) were never listed as the primary cause of death when someone died of heart disease, cancer, diabetes, auto-immune conditions, or any other major co-morbidity. The disease was listed as the cause of death, and a confounding factor like flu or pneumonia was listed on a separate line.

To bloat the number even more, both the W.H.O. and the C.D.C. changed their guidelines such that those who are suspected or probable (but were never confirmed) of having died of covid (https://www.who.int/classifications/classification-of-diseases/emergency-use-icd-codes-for-covid-19-disease-outbreak), are also included in the death numbers. Seriously?

If we are going to do that, then should we not go back and change the numbers of all past cold and flu seasons so we can compare apples to apples when it comes to death rates? According to the CDCs own numbers(https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid\_weekly/index.htm), (scroll down to the section "Comorbidities and other conditions") only 6% of the deaths being attributed to covid are instances where covid seems to be the only issue at hand.

In other words, reduce the death numbers you see on the news by 94% and you have what is likely the real numbers of deaths from just covid. Even if the former CDC director is correct (https://the-highwire.com/videos/covid-origin-controversy-reignited/) and covid-19 was a lab-enhanced virus (see Reason #14 below), a .26% death rate is still in line with the viral death rate that circles the planet every year.

Then there's this Fauci guy. I'd really love to trust him, but besides the fact that he hasn't treated one covid patient...you should probably know...

# #13: FAUCI AND SIX OTHERS AT NIAID OWN PATENTS IN THE MODERNA VACCINE

Thanks to the Bayh-Dole Act, government workers are allowed to file patents on any research they do using tax payer funding.

Tony Fauci owns over 1,000 patents (see this video for more details)(https://childrenshealth-defense.org/defender/truth-rfk-jr-naomi-wolf-constitutional-rights/?utm\_source=salsa&e-Type=EmailBlastContent&eId=26b5c090-223d-4d5d-9526-e0f692535e8c), including patents being used on the Moderna vaccine...which he approved government funding for. In fact, the NIH (which NIAID is part of) claims joint ownership (https://www.axios.com/moderna-nih-coronavirus-vac-